Optimization for Machine Learning CS-439

Lecture 10: Accelerated Gradient Descent

Martin Jaggi

EPFL - github.com/epfml/OptML_course

May 18, 2018

Re-visiting gradient descent

Property of f	Learning Rate γ	Number of steps
$\ \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^\star\ \le R$,	$_R$	$O(1/c^2)$
$\ \nabla f(\mathbf{x})\ \leq L$ for all \mathbf{x}	$L\sqrt{T}$	$\mathcal{O}(1/\varepsilon^{-})$
f is L -smooth	$\frac{1}{L}$	$\mathcal{O}(1/arepsilon)$
f is L -smooth	1	$O(\log(1/c))$
and μ -strongly convex	\overline{L}	$\mathcal{O}(\log(1/\varepsilon))$

Improving gradient descent

Problem: Can we do any better? In particular, can we accelerate gradient descent?

Solution: Nesterov's accelerated gradient methods come to the rescue.

Momentum

Idea: Use **momentum** from "movement" so far

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_t - \gamma \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \nu \big[\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t-1} \big]$$

$\nu>0$ is called the momentum parameter

Accelerated Gradient Method - AGD

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} := \mathbf{y}_{0} := \mathbf{z}_{0}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} := \tau \mathbf{z}_{t} + (1 - \tau)\mathbf{y}_{t}$$
$$\mathbf{y}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \frac{1}{L}\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})$$
$$\mathbf{z}_{t+1} := \mathbf{z}_{t} - \gamma \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})$$

Accelerated Gradient Method - Analysis

Problem: What about the values of γ and τ ?

Solution: We start with analysis and set them so as to get the best results.

Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and differentiable with a global minimum \mathbf{x}^* ; furthermore, suppose that f is smooth with parameter L, $\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\| \le R$ and $|f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)| \le d$. Then, after $T = 4R\sqrt{\frac{L}{d}}$ steps and setting $\gamma = \frac{R}{\sqrt{dL}}$ and τ such that $\frac{1-\tau}{\tau} = \gamma L$, the average of the first T iterates satisfies

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}\mathbf{x}_t\right) - f(\mathbf{x}^\star) \le \frac{d}{2}$$

(i) Recall from Lecture 3 that the updates of the type $\mathbf{y}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})$ are always monotone decreasing:

$$f(\mathbf{y}_t) \le f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2, \quad t \ge 0.$$

(ii)
Use the fact that
$$2\mathbf{v}^{\top}\mathbf{w} = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|^2$$
 to obtain
 $\gamma \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})^{\top}(\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^{\star}) = \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^2$

Using the first equation we get

$$\gamma \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})^{\top} (\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^{\star}) \leq \gamma^2 L(f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{y}_{t+1})) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^2$$
(1)

Use convexity and set $\frac{1-\tau}{\tau} = \gamma L$ to obtain

$$\gamma \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})^{\top} [(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}) - (\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{x}^{\star})] = \gamma \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})^{\top} (\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{z}_t)$$
$$= \frac{1 - \tau}{\tau} \gamma \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})^{\top} (\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t+1})$$
$$\leq \gamma^2 L(f(\mathbf{y}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})) \qquad (2)$$

Add (1) and (2) to obtain

$$\gamma \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}) \leq \gamma^{2} L(f(\mathbf{y}_{t}) - f(\mathbf{y}_{t+1})) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^{2}$$

We know that

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}\mathbf{x}_t\right) - f(\mathbf{x}^\star) \le \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})^\top (\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^\star)$$

Using the telescoping sum in the previous slide, the proposed substitutions give the desired result.

Theorem

By repeatedly restarting the AGD algorithm, we can find an ε -optimal solution in $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ updates.

Proof.

Use the previous theorem (Exercise).

AGD - Analysis for strongly convex smooth functions

Along with the previous assumptions, if we assume that the function f is μ -strongly convex, then we can find a point \mathbf{x} with $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\frac{L}{\mu}})$ updates such that

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{0} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^{2}$$

Proof.

Use the results of previous theorem with $\varepsilon = \frac{\mu}{4} ||\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^{\star}||^2$ to find a point \mathbf{x} such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) \le \frac{\mu}{4} \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^2$$
(3)

This will take
$$\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\frac{L}{\mu}})$$
 update steps

AGD - Analysis for strongly convex smooth functions, cont.

Proof.

Use strong convexity of f to obtain

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^2 \le f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) \tag{4}$$

Combine (3) and (4) to get the desired result.

AGD - Analysis for strongly convex smooth functions, cont.

Theorem

Convergence in Iterate -

By repeatedly starting the AGD algorithm, for a μ -strongly convex and L-smooth function, we can find an ε -optimal solution in the value of iterate in $\mathcal{O}(\log(1/\varepsilon))$ updates where the constant in the big- \mathcal{O} is $\sqrt{\frac{L}{\mu}}$ compared to vanilla GD where the constant is $\frac{L}{\mu}$.

Overview of Accelerated Gradient Method

Properties of f	GD steps	AGD steps
f is L -smooth	$\mathcal{O}(1/arepsilon)$	$\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$
f is L -smooth	$\mathcal{O}(\frac{L}{\mu}\log(1/\varepsilon))$	$\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\frac{L}{\mu}}\log(1/\varepsilon))$
and μ -strongly convex		

Table: A comparison of Gradient descent and Accelerated Gradient Method for convex functions - number of updates to obtain an ε -optimal solution

Acceleration in practice

Application to a Lasso problem



figure by Ryan Tibshirani, CMU

EPFL Machine Learning and Optimization Laboratory

Acceleration in practice

Excellent illustration and simulation:

https://distill.pub/2017/momentum/

Potential issues

 requires tuning of a new hyperparameter (the momentum param)